arXiv:math/0305393v1 [math.CO] 28 May 2003

q Statistics on S_n and Pattern Avoidance

Amitai Regev*
Department of Mathematics
The Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot 76100, Israel
regev@wisdom.weizmann.ac.il

Yuval Roichman†
Department of Mathematics
Bar Ilan University
Ramat Gan 52900, Israel
yuvalr@math.biu.ac.il

May 28, 2003

Abstract

Natural q analogues of classical statistics on the symmetric groups S_n are introduced; parameters like: the q-length, the q-inversion number, the q-descent number and the q-major index. MacMahon's theorem about the equi-distribution of the inversion number and the reverse major index is generalized to all positive integers q. It is also shown that the q-inversion number and the q-reverse major index are equi-distributed over subsets of permutations avoiding certain patterns. Natural q analogues of the Bell and the Stirling numbers are related to these q statistics - through the counting of the above pattern-avoiding permutations.

---

[*] Partially supported by Minerva Grant No. 8441 and by EC's IHRP Programme, within the Research Training Network "Algebraic Combinatorics in Europe", grant HPRN-CT-2001-00272

[†] Partially supported by EC's IHRP Programme, within the Research Training Network "Algebraic Combinatorics in Europe", grant HPRN-CT-2001-00272

1 Introduction

MacMahon's celebrated theorem about the equi-distribution of the *length* (or the *inversion-number*) and the *major index* statistics on the symmetric group S_n – has received far–reaching refinements and generalizations through the last three decades. For a brief review on these refinements – see [12]. In [15] we extended the various classical S_n statistics, in a natural way, to the alternating group A_{n+1}. This was done via the canonical presentations of the elements of these groups, and by a certain covering map $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$.

Further refinements of MacMahon's theorem were obtained in [15] by the introduction of the *'delent'* statistics on these groups. Then these equi-distribution theorems for S_n were 'lifted' back, via $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$, thus yielding equi-distribution theorems for A_{n+1}.

This paper continues [12] and might be considered as its *q-analogue.* We introduce the *q-analogues* of the classical statistics on the symmetric groups: the *q-length*, the *q-inversion number*, the *q-descent number*, the *q-major index* and the *q-reverse-major index* of a permutation. The *q-delent* statistics are also introduced. We then extend classical properties to these q -analogues. For example, it is proved that the q–length equals the q–inversion number of a permutation; furthermore, it is proved that the q-inversion number and the q-reverse major index are equidistributed on S_{n+q-1}. See below.

It is realized that the above map $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$ is the restriction to A_{n+1} of a covering map $f_2 : S_{n+1} \to S_n$. More generally, we have similar covering maps $f_q : S_{n+q-1} \to S_n$ for all positive integers q. These maps are defined via the canonical presentations of the elements in S_{n+q-1}. It is proved that the map f_q sends the q–statistics on S_{n+q-1} to the corresponding classical statistics on S_n, see Proposition 8.6 below. For example, if $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$, it is proved there that the q–inversion number of π equals the inversion number of $f_q(\pi)$.

Dashed patterns in permutations were introduced by Babson and Steingrimsson [2]. For example, a permutation σ contains the pattern $(1-32)$ if $\sigma = [\ldots, a, \ldots, c, b, \ldots]$ for some $a < b < c$; if no such a, b, c exist then σ is said to avoid $(1-32)$. Connections between the number of permutations avoiding $(1-32)$ – and various combinatorial objects, like the Bell and the Stirling numbers, as well as the number of left-to-right-minima in permutations were proved by Claesson [3] . Via the various q–statistics we obtain q-analogues for these connections and results.

For a permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ it is proved that the *q–descent* and the *q–delent* numbers of π are equal exactly when π avoids a certain collection of dashed patterns, and that the number of these permutations is $(q-1)!\sum_k q^k S(n,k)$, where $S(n,k)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind, see Proposition 2.8. Also, the number of permutations in S_{n+q-1} for which the *q–delent* number equals $k-1$ is $(q-1)!q^k c(n,k)$, where $c(n,k)$ are the Stirling numbers of the first kind; see Proposition 2.9.

Equi-distribution of q-statistics is studied in Section 11. A *q-analogue* of MacMahon's classical equi-distribution theorem is given, see Theorems 2.5 below. Multivariate refinements of MacMahon's theorem, due to Foata-Schützenberger and others [14, 7, 12], have also corresponding q-analogues. These analogues are described in Section 11.1, see Theorem 11.5 and its consequences.

An intensive study of equi-distribution over subsets of permutations avoiding patterns has been carried out recently, cf. [5, 6, 13, 1]. In Section 11.2 it is shown that certain q-statistics are equi-distributed on the above subsets of dashed–patterns–avoiding permutations. See Theorem 2.6 and 11.7 below.

2 The main results

Throughout the paper q is a positive integer. Recall the unique canonical presentation of a permutation in S_n as a product of shortest coset representatives along the principal flag, see Subsection 3.1 below. The *q-length* of a permutation $\pi \in S_n$, $\ell_q(\pi)$, is the number of Coxeter generators in the canonical presentation of π, where the generators $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$ are not counted. Define the *q-inversion number* $inv_q(\pi)$ as

$$inv_q(\pi) := 0 \quad \text{if } n \le q; \text{ and if } q < n,$$

$$inv_q(\pi) := \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} m_q(i),$$

where

$$m_q(i) := \min\{i-q, \#\{j < i | \pi(j) > \pi(i)\}\}.$$

Thus $\ell_1(\pi) = \ell(\pi)$ and $inv_1(\pi) = inv(\pi)$.

As in the (classical) case $q = 1$, we have

Proposition 2.1 *[See Proposition 8.2]. For every* $\sigma \in S_n$

$$\ell_q(\sigma) = inv_q(\sigma).$$

Proposition 2.2 *[See Proposition 6.1]. For every* $\pi \in A_n$*,* $\ell_2(\pi)$ *is the length with respect to the set of generators* $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}\} \subset A_n$*, where* $a_i := s_1 s_{i+1}$.

Define the *q-delent number*, $del_q(\pi)$, to be the number of times s_q appears in the canonical presentation of π.

For $0 \le k \le n-1$ define the *k-th almost left to right minima* in a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ (denoted a^k.l.t.r.min) as the set of indices

$$Del_{k+1}(\pi) := \{i \mid k+2 \le i \le n, \#\{j < i \mid \pi(j) < \pi(i)\} \le k\}.$$

Thus $Del_q(\pi)$ is the set of a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π. See Example 5.10 below.

Proposition 2.3 *[See Proposition 5.2]. The number of occurences of* s_{k+1} *in the canonical presentation of* $\pi \in S_n$*,* $del_{k+1}(w)$*, equals the number of* a^k*.l.t.r.min in* π.

The second delent statistics del_2 on even permutations in A_{n+1} and the first delent statistics del_1 on S_n have analogous interpretations. See, for example, Proposition 6.1.

The *q-descent set* of $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ is defined as

$$Des_q(\pi) := \{i \mid i \text{ is a } q\text{-descent in } \pi\},$$

and the *q-descent number* is defined as

$$des_q(\pi) := \#Des_q(\pi).$$

For $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ define the *q-major index*

$$maj_q(\pi) := \sum_{i \in Des_q(\pi)} i$$

and the *q-reverse major index*

$$rmaj_{q,m}(\pi) := \sum_{i \in Des_q(\pi)} (m-i),$$

where $m = n + q - 1$.

Thus Des_1 is the standard descent set of a permutation in S_n. The definition of the q-descent set is justified by the following phenomena:

(1) Des_2 is the descent set on the alternating group A_n with respect to the distinguished set of generators $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}\}$, where $a_i := s_1 s_{i+1}$, see Proposition 6.1.

(2) The q-descent set, Des_q, is strongly related with pattern avoiding permutations, see Proposition 9.3.

(3) Des_q is involved in the definition of the q-(reverse) major index, and thus in the q-analogue of MacMahon's equi-distribution theorem (Theorem 11.2).

Given q, denote by

$$Pat(q) = \{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \cdots - \sigma_q - (q+2), (q+1)) \mid \sigma \in S_q\}$$

the set with these $q!$ dashed patterns.
For example, $Pat(1) = \{(1-32)\}$ $Pat(2) = \{(1-2-43),\ (2-1-43)\}$.

Denote by $Avoid_q(n+q-1)$ the set of permutations in S_{n+q-1} avoiding all the $q!$ patterns in $Pat(q)$.

Proposition 2.4 *[See Proposition 9.3]. A permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ avoids $Pat(q)$ exactly when $Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)$:*

$$Avoid_q(n+q-1) = \{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} |\ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

The following is a q-analogue of MacMahon's equi-distribution theorem.

Theorem 2.5 *[See theorem 11.2].*

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t^{inv_q(\pi)} =$$
$$= q!(1+tq)(1+t+t^2q)\cdots(1+t+\ldots+t^{n-2}+t^{n-1}q).$$

Far reaching multivariate refinements of MacMahon's theorem, which imply equi-distribution on subsets of permutations, were given by Foata and Schützenberger and others, cf. [14, 7, 8, 12]. In Subsection 11.1 we describe some q-analogues of these refinements, see Theorem 11.4 and Corollary 11.6 below.

The above q-statistics are equi-distributed on permutations avoiding $Pat(q)$.

Theorem 2.6 *[See Corollary 11.8].*

$$\sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t_1^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t_1^{inv_q(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi)}$$

For example, for $q = 1$

$$\sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid(1-32)} t_1^{rmaj_n(\pi)} t_2^{des(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid(1-32)} t_1^{inv(\pi)} t_2^{des(\pi)}.$$

For $q = 2$

$$\sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid(1-2-43,2-1-43)} t_1^{rmaj_{2,n+1}(\pi)} t_2^{des_2(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid(1-2-43,2-1-43)} t_1^{inv_2(\pi)} t_2^{des_2(\pi)}.$$

Bell and Stirling numbers (of both kinds) appear naturally in the enumeration of permutations with respect to their q-statistics.

Let $c(n,k)$ be the k-th Stirling number of the first kind and $S(n,k)$ be the k-th Stirling number of the second kind. Let the n-th q-Bell number be $b_q(n) := \sum_k q^k S(n,k)$. Let $B_q(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_q(n)\frac{x^n}{n!}$ denote the exponential generating function of $\{b_q(n)\}$. Then

$$B_q(x) = \exp(qe^x - q).$$

The classical formula $b_1(n) = \frac{1}{e}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{r!}$ [4] (see also [16, (1.6.10)]) generalizes as follows:

$$b_q(n) = \frac{1}{e^q}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^r r^n}{r!},$$

see Remark 10.4.

We have

Proposition 2.7 *[See Proposition 10.8].*

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\sigma \in S_{n+q-1} \mid Del_q(\sigma) - 1 = Des_q(\sigma) \quad \textit{and} \quad del_q(\sigma) = k-1\} = \\ = (q-1)! q^k S(n,k).\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.8 *[See Propositions 9.3 and 10.5].*

$$(q-1)! b_q(n) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} | \ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\} = Avoid_q(n+q-1).$$

Proposition 2.9 *[See Propositions 10.10].*

$$\#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} | \ del_q(\pi) = k-1\} = c_q(n,k),$$

where $c_q(n,k) = q^k \ (q-1)! \ c(n,k)$.

3 Preliminaries

3.1 The S_n Canonical Presentation

A basic tool, both in [12] and in this paper, is the canonical presentation of a permutation, which we now describe.

Recall that the transpositions $s_i = (i, i+1)$, $1 \le i < n-1$, are the Coxeter generators of the symmetric group S_n. For each $1 \le j \le n-1$ define

$$R_j^S = \{1, \ s_j, \ s_j s_{j-1}, \ldots, \ s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1\} \tag{1}$$

and note that $R_1^S, \ldots, R_{n-1}^S \subseteq S_n$.
The following is a classical theorem; see for example [9, pp. 61-62]. See also [12, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.1 *Let* $w \in S_n$*, then there exist unique elements* $w_j \in R_j^S$*,* $1 \le j \le n-1$*, such that* $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$*. Thus, the presentation* $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ *is unique; it is called the* **canonical presentation** *of* w*.*

Note that R_j^S is the complete list of representatives of minimal length of right cosets of S_j in S_{j+1}. Thus, the canonical presentation of $w \in S_n$ is the unique presentation of w as a product of shortest coset representatives along the principal flag

$$\{e\} = S_1 < S_2 < \cdots < S_n.$$

We remark that a similar canonical presentation for the alternating groups A_n – is given in [12], see Section 3.2 below.

The descent set $Des(\pi)$ of a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ is a classical notion. In [12] the *'delent'* statistic was introduced: $Del(\pi)$ is the set of indices i which are left-to-right-minima of π, and $del(\pi) = \#Del(\pi)$. By Proposition 7.2 of [12], $del(\pi)$ equals the number of times that $s_1 = (1, 2)$ appears in the canonical presentation of π.

Theorem 9.1 is the main theorem of [12] and we now state its part about S_n (it also has a similar part about A_n).

Theorem 3.2 *For every subsets $D_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $D_2 \subseteq [n-1]$*

$$\sum_{\{\pi\in S_n \mid \ Des_S(\pi^{-1})\subseteq D_1, \ Del_S(\pi^{-1})\subseteq D_2\}} q^{rmaj_{S_n}(\pi)} =$$

$$= \sum_{\{\pi\in S_n \mid \ Des_S(\pi^{-1})\subseteq D_1, \ Del_S(\pi^{-1})\subseteq D_2\}} q^{\ell_S(\pi)}.$$

In the following case, a simple explicit generating function is given.

Theorem 3.3 *[12, Theorem 6.1]*

$$\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} q^{\ell_S(\sigma)} t^{del_S(\sigma)} = \sum_{\sigma\in S_n} q^{rmaj_{S_n}(\sigma)} t^{del_S(\sigma)} =$$

$$= (1+qt)(1+q+q^2t)\cdots(1+q+\ldots+q^{n-1}t).$$

3.2 The Alternating Group

The alternating group serves as a motivating example. Here are some results from [12], which are applied in Sections 6 and 12 and in the formulation and proof of Proposition 8.5. The reader who is not interested in this motivating example may skip this subsection.

Let

$$a_i := s_1 s_{i+1} \qquad (1 \le i \le n-1).$$

The set

$$A := \{a_i \mid 1 \le i \le n-1\}$$

generates the alternating group on n letters A_{n+1}. This generating set and its following properties appear in [11].

Proposition 3.4 *[11, Proposition 2.5] The defining relations of A are*

$$(a_i a_j)^2 = 1 \qquad (|i-j| > 1);$$

$$(a_i a_{i+1})^3 = 1 \qquad (1 \le i < n-1);$$

$$a_1^3 = 1 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad a_i^2 = 1 \qquad (1 < i \le n-1).$$

For each $1 \le j \le n-1$ define

$$R_j^A = \{1,\ a_j,\ a_j a_{j-1},\ \ldots,\ a_j \cdots a_2,\ a_j \cdots a_2 a_1,\ a_j \cdots a_2 a_1^{-1}\} \tag{2}$$

and note that $R_1^A, \ldots, R_{n-1}^A \subseteq A_{n+1}$.

Theorem 3.5 *Let $v \in A_{n+1}$, then there exist unique elements $v_j \in R_j^A$, $1 \le j \le n-1$, such that $v = v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$, and this presentation is unique.*

This presentation is called the A canonical presentation of v.

For $\sigma \in A_{n+1}$ let $\ell_A(\sigma)$ be the length of the A canonical presentation of σ. Let

$$Des_A(\sigma) := \{i \mid \ell_A(\sigma) \le \ell_A(\sigma a_i)\}$$

and $des_A(\sigma) := \#Des_A(\sigma)$, define $maj_A(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in Des_a(\sigma)} i$, and $rmaj_{A_{n+1}}(\sigma) := \sum_{i \in Des_a(\sigma)} (n-i)$. Let $del_A(\sigma)$ the number of appearances of $a_1^{\pm 1}$ in its A canonical presentation. It is proved in [12] that this number equals the number of almost-left-to-right-minima in σ.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 allow us to introduce in [12] the following covering map :

Definition 3.6 *Define $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$ as follows.*

$$f(a_1) = f(a_1^{-1}) = s_1 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad f(a_i) = s_i, \qquad 2 \le i \le n-1.$$

Now extend $f : R_j^A \to R_j^S$ via

$$f(a_j a_{j-1} \cdots a_\ell) = s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_\ell, \qquad f(a_j \cdots a_1) = f(a_j \cdots a_1^{-1}) = s_j \cdots s_1.$$

Finally, let $v \in A_{n+1}$, $\quad v = v_1 \cdots v_{n-1}$ its unique A canonical presentation, then

$$f(v) = f(v_1) \cdots f(v_{n-1})$$

which is clearly the S canonical presentation of $f(v)$.

Proposition 3.7 *[12, Propositions 5.3-5.4] For every* $\pi \in A_{n+1}$*,*

$$\ell_A(\pi) = \ell_S(f(\pi)), \qquad Des_A(\pi) = Des_S(f(\pi)), \qquad Del_A(\pi) = Del_S(f(\pi))$$

Thus $des_A(\pi) = des_S(f(\pi))$*,* $maj_A(\pi) = maj_S(f(\pi))$*,* $rmaj_{A_{n+1}}(\pi) = rmaj_{S_n}(f(\pi))$ *and* $del_A(\pi) = del_S(f(\pi))$*.*

4 Basic Concepts I

Let $\pi \in S_n$. Recall that its length $\ell(\pi)$ equals the number of the Coxeter generators $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ in its canonical presentation. It is well known that $\ell(\pi)$ also equals $inv(\pi)$, the number of inversions of π. Also, it is easily seen that $inv(\pi)$ can be written as

$$inv(\pi) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} m(i),$$

where

$$m(i) = \min\{i-1, \#\{j < i | \pi(j) > \pi(i)\}\}.$$

Thus, the following definition is a natural q-analogue of these two classical statistics.

Definition 4.1 *Let* $\pi \in S_n$*.*

1. *(*ℓ_q*) Let* $q < n$ *and define the q-length* $\ell_q(\pi)$ *as follows:* $\ell_q(\pi)$:= *the number of Coxeter generators in the canonical presentation of* π*, where* $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$ *are not counted (thus, for example,* $\ell_2(s_1) = 0$ *and* $\ell_2(s_1 s_2 s_1 s_3 s_2 s_1) = 3$*).*

2. *(*inv_q*) Define the q-inversion number* $inv_q(\pi)$ *as*

$$inv_q(\pi) := 0 \quad \text{if } n \le q; \text{ and if } q < n,$$

$$inv_q(\pi) := \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} m_q(i),$$

where

$$m_q(i) := \min\{i-q, \#\{j < i | \pi(j) > \pi(i)\}\}.$$

Thus $\ell_1(\pi) = \ell(\pi)$ and $inv_1(\pi) = inv(\pi)$.

As in the (classical) case $q = 1$, we have

Proposition 4.2 *For every* $\sigma \in S_n$

$$\ell_q(\sigma) = inv_q(\sigma).$$

Proof. We may assume that $q < n$. Let $\sigma = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ with $w_j \in R_j$ be the canonical presentation of σ, and denote $\pi = w_1 \cdots w_{n-2}$, then $\pi \in S_{n-1}$, hence $\pi = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, n]$. If $w_{n-1} = 1$ then $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ and we are done by induction. Hence assume $w_{n-1} \neq 1$, so that $w_{n-1} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and therefore $\sigma = [b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, n, b_k, \ldots, b_{n-1}]$.

Case 1: $1 \leq k \leq q$, in which case

$$\ell_q(w_{n-1}) = n - q \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma = [b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, n, b_k, \ldots, b_q, \ldots, b_{n-1}].$$

Then for $q \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$\#\{j < i+1 \mid \sigma(j) > \sigma(i+1)\} = \#\{j < i \mid b_j > b_i\} + 1$$

(the "+1" comes from $n > b_i$). It follows that $m_q(i+1, \sigma) = m_q(i, \pi) + 1$, since

$$m_q(i+1, \sigma) = \min\{i + 1 - q; \#\{j < i+1 \mid \sigma(j) > \sigma(i+1)\}\} =$$

$$= \min\{i + 1 - q; \#\{j < i \mid b_j > b_i\} + 1\} =$$

$$= \min\{i - q; \#\{j < i \mid b_j > b_i\}\} + 1 = m_q(i, \pi) + 1.$$

Thus

$$inv_q(\sigma) = \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} m_q(i, \sigma) = \sum_{i=q}^{n-1} m_q(i+1, \sigma) = \sum_{i=q}^{n-1} m_q(i, \pi) + (n - q) =$$

(by induction)

$$= \ell_q(\pi) + n - q = \ell_q(\pi) + \ell_q(w_{n-1}) = \ell_q(\sigma).$$

Case 2: $q + 1 \leq k$, hence $\ell_q(w_{n-1}) = \ell_1(w_{n-1}) = n - k$, $\sigma = [b_1, \ldots, b_q, \ldots, b_{k-1}, n, b_k, \ldots, b_{n-1}]$. Here

1. $m_q(i, \sigma) = m_q(i, \pi)$ if $q + 1 \leq i \leq k - 1$,

2. $m_q(k,\sigma) = 0 \ \ (i = k)$,

   and, as in Case 1,

3. $m_q(i+1,\sigma) = m_q(i,\pi) + 1$ if $k \le i \le n-1$.

It follows that

$$inv_q(\sigma) = \sum_{i=q+1}^{n} m_q(i,\sigma) = \sum_{i=q+1}^{k-1} m_q(i,\pi) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} m_q(i,\pi) + n - k =$$

$$\sum_{i=q}^{n-1} m_q(i,\pi) + (n-k) =$$

(by induction)

$$= \ell_q(\pi) + n - k = \ell_q(\pi) + \ell_q(w_{n-1}) = \ell_q(\sigma).$$

□

The following lemma was proved in [12].

Lemma 4.3 *[12, Lemma 3.7] Let* $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_p}$ *be the canonical presentation of* $w \in S_n$*. Then the canonical presentation of* w^{-1} *is obtained from the presentation* $w^{-1} = s_{i_p} \cdots s_{i_1}$ *by commuting moves only – without any braid moves. Similarly for* v, $v^{-1} \in A_{n+1}$.

Proposition 4.4 *For every* $\sigma \in S_n$,

$$\ell_q(\sigma^{-1}) = \ell_q(\sigma), \quad \textit{hence also} \quad inv_q(\sigma^{-1}) = inv_q(\sigma).$$

Proof. Lemma 4.3 easily implies that $\ell_q(\sigma^{-1}) = \ell_q(\sigma)$, while this, together with Proposition 8.2 imply the equality $inv_q(\sigma^{-1}) = inv_q(\sigma)$. □

5 Basic Concepts II

A natural q-analogue of the *del* statistics from [12] is introduced in this section. This allows us to introduce below a (less intuitive) q-analogue of the descent statistics.

5.1 The *del* Statistics

Recall the definitions of Del and del (of types S and A) from [12]: Given a permutation w in S_n, $Del_S(w)$ is the set of indices which are *left to right minima* (l.t.r.min) in w, and $Del_A(w)$ is the set of indices which are *almost left to right minima* (a.l.t.r.min) in w. Let $s_i = (i, i+1),\ i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, denote the Coxeter generators of S_n. The following classical fact is of fundamental importance in this paper.

Let $R_j = \{1, s_j, s_j s_{j-1}, \ldots, s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1\}$ and let $w \in S_n$, then there exist unique elements $w_j \in R_j$, $1 \le j \le n-1$, such that $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$; this is the (unique) canonical presentation of w, see Theorem 3.1 in [12].

Similarly $a_i = s_1 s_{i+1},\ i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, are the corresponding generators for the alternating group A_{n+1}, and there is a corresponding unique canonical presentation for the elements of A_{n+1}, see Section 3 in [12]. The following was observed in [12]:

1. The number of times s_1 appears in the canonical presentation of w (i.e. $del_S(w)$) equals the number of l.t.r.min in w (hence $\#Del_S(w) = del_S(w)$), see [12] Proposition 7.2.
2. The number of times s_2 appears in w – equals the number of a.l.t.r.min in w. Moreover, if $w \in A_{n+1}$, that number equals the number of times $a_1^{\pm 1}$ appears in the A-canonical presentation of w, which by definition is $del_A(w)$, and $del_A(w) = \#Del_A(w)$, see [12] Proposition 7.6.

In this paper, 'sub S' is replaced by 'sub 1': $Del_S = Del_1$ and $del_S = del_1$, etc. Similarly (in A_n) 'sub A' is replaced by 'sub 2'. We shall also encounter 'sub q' for every positive integer q.

Definition 5.1 *Let $\pi \in S_n$ and let $1 \le q \le n-1$.*

1. *Define $del_q(\pi)$ to be the number of times s_q appears in the canonical presentation of π.*
2. *For $0 \le k \le n-1$ define the k-th almost left to right minima in a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ (denoted a^k.l.t.r.min) as the set of indices*

$$Del_{k+1}(\pi) := \{i \mid k+2 \le i \le n, \#\{j < i \mid \pi(j) < \pi(i)\} \le k\}.$$

   Thus $Del_q(\pi)$ is the set of a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π.

See Example 5.10 below.

Note that if $i \leq k+1$ then, trivially, $\#\{j < i \mid \pi(j) < \pi(i)\} \leq k$, however these indices are not counted as a^k.l.t.r.min. Also note that a^0.l.t.r.min is simply l.t.r.min.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $w \in S_n$. Then for every nonnegative integer k, the number of occurences of s_{k+1} in the canonical presentation of w, $del_{k+1}(w)$, equals the number of a^k.l.t.r.min in w. Writing $k+1 = q$ we have*

$$\#Del_q(w) = del_q(w).$$

Proof (generalizes the proof of Proposition 7.6 in [12]).
We first need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $1 \leq k+1 \leq n$, let $w \in S_n$ and let $\pi \in S_{k+1}$. Also let $i \leq n$. Then i is a^k.l.t.r.min of w if and only if i is a^k.l.t.r.min of πw. In particular, the number of a^k.l.t.r.min of w equals the number of a^k.l.t.r.min of πw.*

Proof. Denote $w = [b_1, \ldots, b_n]$ (namely $w(r) = b_r$), and compare w with πw: π permutes only the b_r's in $\{1, \ldots, k+1\}$. If $b_i \in \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$, the total number of b_j's smaller than b_i is $\leq k$; in particular such i is a^k.l.t.r.min in both w and πw, provided $i \geq k+2$. If on the other hand $b_i \notin \{1, \ldots, k+1\}$ then b_i is greater than all the elements in that subset; thus such i is a^k.l.t.r.min of w if and only if i is a^k.l.t.r.min of πw. This implies the proof. □

Lemma 5.4 *Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and denote $s_{[k,n-1]} = s_k s_{k+1} \cdots s_{n-1}$. Let $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ and write $\sigma = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, n]$. Then $s_{[k,n-1]}\sigma = [c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}, k]$, and the two tuples $(b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1})$ and $(c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1})$ are order-isomorphic, namely for all i, j, $b_i < b_j$ if and only if $c_i < c_j$.*

Proof. Comparing σ with $s_{[k,n-1]}\sigma$, we see that

1. the (position with) n in σ is replaced in $s_{[k,n-1]}\sigma$ by k;
2. each j in σ, $k \leq j \leq n-1$, is replaced by $j+1$ in $s_{[k,n-1]}\sigma$;
3. each j, $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ is unchanged.

This implies the proof. $\square$

The Proof of Proposition 5.2 is by induction on n. If $n \leq k+1$, the number of a^k.l.t.r.min of any permutation in S_n is zero, and also $s_{k+1} \notin S_n$, hence 5.2 holds in that case.

Next assume 5.2 holds for $n-1$ and prove for n. Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$ be the canonical presentation of $w \in S_n$ and denote $\sigma = w_1 \cdots w_{n-2}$, then $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$. If $w_{n-1} = 1$ then $w \in S_{n-1}$ and the proof follows by induction. So let $w_{n-1} \neq 1$, then we can write $w_{n-1} = s_{n-1}s_{n-2} \cdots s_d v$, where $d \geq k+1$ and $v \in \{1, s_k, s_k s_{k-1}, \ldots, s_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_1\}$ hence $v \in S_{k+1}$. If $d \geq k+2$ then necessarily $v = 1$ and in that case the number of times s_{k+1} appears in w and in σ is the same. If $d = k+1$, that number in w is one more than in σ. We show that the same holds for the number of a^k.l.t.r.min for these two permutations σ and w.

By Lemma 3.4 of [12], it suffices to prove that statement for the inverse permutations w^{-1} and σ^{-1}. Now, $w^{-1} = \pi s_{[d,n-1]}\sigma^{-1}$, where $\pi = v^{-1} \in S_{k+1}$, hence by Lemma 5.3 it suffices to compare the number of a^k.l.t.r.min in σ^{-1} with that in $s_{[d,n-1]}\sigma^{-1}$. By Lemma 5.4 $\sigma^{-1} = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}, n]$ and $s_{[d,n-1]}\sigma^{-1} = [c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1}, d]$ where the b's and the c's are order isomorphic.

The case $d \geq k+2$. Here the two last positions – n in σ^{-1} and d in $s_{[d,n-1]}\sigma^{-1}$ – are not a^k.l.t.r.min, and the above order isomorphism implies the proof in that case.

The case $d = k+1$. By a similar argument, now the last position in $s_{[d,n-1]}\sigma^{-1}$ (which is $k+1$) is one additional a^k.l.t.r.min.
The proof now follows. $\square$

Proposition 5.5 *For every positive integer q and every permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$*

$$del_q(\pi) = del_q(\pi^{-1}).$$

Proof. This is a straightforward consequence of Lemma 3.7 of [12], which says the following: Let $\pi \in S_n$ and let $\pi = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be its canonical presentation. Then the canonical presentation of π^{-1} is obtained from the equation $\pi^{-1} = s_{i_r} \cdots s_{i_1}$ by commuting moves only, without any braid moves. Thus, the number of times a particular s_j appears in π and in π^{-1} - is the same. This clearly implies the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.6 *For every positive integer q and every permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ the number of a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π equals the number of a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π^{-1}.*

Proof. Combining Proposition 5.2 with Proposition 5.5. $\square$

Remark 5.7 *Setting $q = k + 1$ in Lemma 5.3, deduce that for any two permutations σ and η in S_{n+q-1}, if σ and η belong to the same right coset of S_q, i.e. $\eta \in S_q\sigma$, then*

$$Del_q(\eta) = Del_q(\sigma) \qquad \textit{and therefore} \qquad del_q(\eta) = del_q(\sigma).$$

The same is also true for the left cosets: Let $\eta \in \sigma S_q$ then again

$$Del_q(\eta) = Del_q(\sigma) \qquad \textit{(and therefore} \qquad del_q(\eta) = del_q(\sigma)).$$

This easily follows from Definition 5.1, since if $\sigma = [b_1, \dots, b_q, \dots, b_n]$, $\tau \in S_q$ and $\eta = \sigma\tau$, then $\eta = [b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, b_{\tau(q)}, b_{q+1} \dots, b_n]$.

Let now σ and η belong to the same left coset or right coset of S_q, then by the same reasoning, for any $q \le d$, $del_d(\eta) = del_d(\sigma)$ since $S_q \subseteq S_d$. Since

$$\ell_q(\eta) = \sum_{d=q}^{n-1} del_d(\eta), \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \ell_q(\sigma) = \sum_{d=q}^{n-1} del_d(\sigma),$$

deduce that in that case $\ell_q(\eta) = \ell_q(\sigma)$.

5.2 The q-Descent Set

Recall that i is a *descent* of π if $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$, and let $Des(\pi)$ denote the ('classical') descent-set of π. The following definition seems to be the appropriate q-analogue for descents.

Definition 5.8 *i is a q-descent in $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ if $i \ge q$ and at least one of the following two conditions holds:*

$$i \in Des(\pi) \tag{1}$$

$$i+1 \textit{ is an } a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min \textit{ in } \pi, \tag{2}$$

Thus $Des_q(\pi) = (Des(\pi) \cap \{q, q+1, \dots, n-1\}) \cup (Del_q(\pi) - 1)$, hence for all q, $Del_q(\pi) - 1 \subseteq Des_q(\pi)$ where $Del_q(\pi) - 1 = \{i - 1 \mid i \in Del_q(\pi)\}$. Note that when $q = 1$, condition (2) says that $i+1$ is l.t.r.min, which implies that i is a descent. Thus, a 1-descent is just a descent in the classical sense.

Definition 5.9 *1. The q-descent set of* $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ *is defined as*

$$Des_q(\pi) := \{i \mid i \text{ is a } q\text{-descent in } \pi\},$$

and

2. the q-descent number is defined as

$$des_q(\pi) := \# Des_q(\pi).$$

3. For $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ *define*

$$maj_q(\pi) := \sum_{i \in Des_q(\pi)} i$$

and

$$rmaj_{q,m}(\pi) := \sum_{i \in Des_q(\pi)} (m - i),$$

where $m = n + q - 1$*.*

Example 5.10 *Let* $\sigma = [7, 8, 6, 5, 2, 9, 4, 1, 3]$*.*

When $q = 2$*,* $Del_2(\sigma) = \{3, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ *and* $Des_2(\sigma) = Del_2(\sigma) - 1 = \{2, 3, 4, 6, 7\}$*.*

When $q = 3$*,* $Del_3(\sigma) = \{4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$*, hence* $Des_3(\sigma) = \{3, 4, 6, 7\} \cup \{3, 4, 6, 7, 8\} = \{3, 4, 6, 7, 8\}$*.*

Also, $Des_4(\sigma) = \{4, 6, 7, 8\}$*, etc.*

6 Motivating Examples.

When $q = 1$, the corresponding statistics are classical. By definition, for every $\pi \in S_n$

$$\ell_1(\pi) = \ell_S(\pi),$$

$$Des_1(\pi) = Des_S(\pi),$$

and

$$Del_1(\pi) = Del_S(\pi).$$

It follows that for every $\pi \in S_n$

$$des_1(\pi) = des_S(\pi),$$

$$maj_1(\pi) = maj_S(\pi), \qquad ramj_{1,n}(\pi) = rmaj_{S_n}(\pi),$$

and

$$del_1(\pi) = del_S(\pi).$$

The delent statistics, del_S, was introduced in [12].

The corresponding A-statistics were also studied in [12]; these A-statistics correspond to the case $q = 2$ – and restricted to the alternating groups. This is the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1 *For every even permutation* $\pi \in S_{n+1}$

(1) $$\ell_2(\pi) = \ell_A(\pi),$$

(2) $$Des_2(\pi) = Des_A(\pi),$$

and

(3) $$Del_2(\pi) = Del_A(\pi).$$

Proof. (1) follows from [12, Proposition 4.5].

(2) follows from Lemma 12.1 in Appendix I (Section 12).

For (3) see [12, Proposition 7.5].

□

An alternative and more conceptual proof is given below (see Remark 8.9).

Corollary 6.2 *For every even permutation* $\pi \in S_{n+1}$

$$des_2(\pi) = des_A(\pi)$$

$$maj_2(\pi) = maj_A(\pi), \qquad ramj_{2,n}(\pi) = rmaj_{A_n}(\pi),$$

and

$$del_2(\pi) = del_A(\pi).$$

7 The Double Cosets of $S_q \subseteq S_{n+q-1}$

Let S_q be the subgroup of S_{n+q-1} generated by $\{s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}\}$. It is shown here that the previous q-statistics are invariant on the double cosets of S_q in S_{n+q-1}.

Proposition 7.1 *For any two permutations π and σ in S_{n+q-1}, if π and σ belong to the same double coset of S_q (namely, $\pi \in S_q \sigma S_q$), then*

1.
$$Del_q(\pi) = Del_q(\sigma), \quad hence \quad del_q(\pi) = del_q;$$

2.
$$Des_q(\pi) = Des_q(\sigma), \quad hence \quad des_q(\pi) = des_q;$$

3.
$$inv_q(\pi) = inv_q(\sigma) = \ell_q(\pi) = \ell_q(\sigma).$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that if there exists $\tau \in S_q$, such that $\pi = \tau\sigma$ or $\pi = \sigma\tau$, then equalities 1–3 hold.

1. Part 1 was proved in Remark 5.7.

2. Denote $\sigma = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n+q-1}]$ and $\pi = [b'_1, \ldots, b'_{n+q-1}]$. Since $Des_q(\pi) = (Des(\pi) \cap \{q, q+1, \ldots, n\}) \cup (Del_q(\pi) - 1)$, and the same for $Des_q(\sigma)$, it suffices to prove the following: Let $i \geq q$ and $i \in Des(\sigma)$, then either $i \in Des(\pi)$ or $i + 1 \in Del_q(\pi)$.

We prove first the case of the right cosets: $\pi = \tau\sigma$. It is given that $b_i > b_{i+1}$.

Case 1. $b_i, b_{i+1} \notin \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Then $b_i = b'_i$ and $b_{i+1} = b'_{i+1}$ and we are done.

Case 2. $b_i \notin \{1, \ldots, q\}$ and $b_{i+1} \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Then $b_i = b'_i > q$ while $b'_{i+1} \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ and we are done.

Case 3. $b_i, b_{i+1} \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$. Then at most $q-1$ b_js in σ are left and smaller than b_{i+1}. Thus (by 1) $i + 1 \in Del_q(\sigma) = Del_q(\pi)$.

We prove next the case of the left cosets: $\pi = \sigma\tau$.
By the argument in Remark 5.7, the claim holds if $i > q$. Therefore examine the case $i = q$. If $q \in Des(\pi)$, then we are done. Recall that $b_q > b_{q+1}$ and assume $q \notin Des(\pi)$ (i.e. $b_{\tau(q)} < b_{q+1}$). It follows that

$$\#\{j < q + 1 \mid b_{\tau(j)} < b_{q+1}\} < q,$$

hence $q+1 \in Del_q(\pi)$, which completes the proof of part 2.
3. This follows from Remark 5.7 and from Proposition 8.2, since $inv_q(\pi) = \ell_q(\pi)$ and similarly for σ. □

8 The Covering Map f_q

Motivated by Proposition 8.5 below, we introduce the map f_q from S_{n+q-1} onto S_n, which sends all the elements in the same double coset of S_q to the same element in S_n. The function f_q is applied later to "pull-back" the equi-distribution results from the (classical) case $q=1$ to the general q-case.

Definition 8.1 *Let $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ and let $\pi = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be its canonical presentation, then define $f_q : S_{n+q-1} \to S_n$ as follows:*

$$f_q(\pi) = f_q(s_{i_1}) \cdots f_q(s_{i_r}),$$

where $f_q(s_1) = \cdots = f_q(s_{q-1}) = 1$, and $f_q(s_j) = s_{j-q+1}$ if $j \geq q$.

Remark 8.2 *It is easy to verify that for any q_1, q_2,*

$$f_{q_1} \circ f_{q_2} = f_{q_1+q_2-1}.$$

Thus, for every natural q

$$f_q = f_2^{q-1}.$$

Proposition 8.3 *The map f_q is invariant on the double cosets of S_q: Let $\sigma \in S_{n+q-1}$ and $\pi \in S_q \sigma S_q$, then $f_q(\sigma) = f_q(\pi)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that if $\sigma \in S_{n+q-1}$ and $\tau \in S_q$ then $f_q(\sigma\tau) = f_q(\tau\sigma) = f_q(\sigma)$. By Remark 8.2, it suffices to prove when $q=2$ and hence when $\tau = s_1$. As usual, let $\sigma = w_1 \cdots w_n \in S_{n+1}$ be the canonical presentation of σ. By analyzing the two cases $w_1 = 1$ and $w_1 = s_1$, it easily follows that $f_2(s_1\sigma) = f_2(\sigma)$.

We now show that $f_2(\sigma s_1) = f_2(\sigma)$. The proof in that case follows from the definition of f_2 and by induction on n, by analyzing the following cases:
$w_n = 1$;
$w_n = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_k$ with $k \geq 3$;
$w_n = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2$, and

$w_n = s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1$.
We verify, for example, the case $k \geq 3$. Denote $\pi = w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}$, so $\sigma = \pi w_n$. Now $f_2(\sigma s_1) = f_2(\pi s_1 \cdot w_n) = f_2(\pi s_1) f_2(w_n) =$ (by induction) $= f_2(\pi) f_2(w_n) = f_2(\sigma)$.

The proof in the last two cases follows similarly, and from the fact that $f_2(s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2) = f_2(s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1) = s_{n-1} \cdots s_2 s_1$. □

Note that f_q is not a group homomorphism. For example, let $q = 2$, $g = s_2$ and $h = s_1 s_2$. Then $f_2(g) = f_2(h) = s_1$ so $f_2(g) f_2(h) = 1$, but $gh = s_1 s_2 s_1$, hence $f_2(gh) = s_1$. Nevertheless we do have the following

Proposition 8.4 *For any permutation π, $f_q(\pi^{-1}) = (f_q(\pi))^{-1}$.*

Proof. Again by Remark 8.2, it suffices to prove for $q = 2$. The proof is based on Lemma 4.3.

Denote $s_0 := 1$, then note that if $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ then also $s_{i-1} s_{j-1} = s_{j-1} s_{i-1}$ (the converse is false, as $s_1 s_2 \neq s_2 s_1$).

Let $\pi = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be the canonical presentation of π. By commuting moves,

$$\pi^{-1} = s_{i_r} \cdots s_{i_1} = \cdots = s_{p_1} \cdots s_{p_r}$$

where the right hand side is the canonical presentation of π^{-1}. By definition,

$$f_2(\pi^{-1}) = s_{p_1 - 1} \cdots s_{p_r - 1}.$$

Now by the same commuting moves

$$s_{i_r - 1} \cdots s_{i_1 - 1} = \cdots = s_{p_1 - 1} \cdots s_{p_r - 1}$$

and the left hand side equals $(f_q(\pi))^{-1}$, which completes the proof. □

We also have

Proposition 8.5 *Recall from [12] and Subsection 3.2 the map $f : A_{n+1} \to S_n$. Then f is the restriction $f = f_2|_{A_{n+1}}$ of f_2 to A_{n+1}.*

Proof. Let $\pi \in A_{n+1}$, and let

$$a_{i_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots a_{i_r}^{\epsilon_r}$$

be its A–canonical presentation, where all $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$. By definition, $f(\pi) = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$.

Replace each a_j in the above presentation by $a_j = s_1 s_{j+1}$ then, by commuting moves 'push' each s_1 as much as possible to the left. After some cancelations, an s_1 cannot move any more to the left if it is already the left-most factor, or if it is preceded by an s_2 on its left. It follows that

$$\pi = b s_{i_1+1} \cdots s_2 s_1 \cdots s_2 s_1 \cdots s_{i_r+1} \cdots$$

where $b \in \{1, s_1\}$, and this is an S–canonical presentation. Then $f_2(\pi) = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ and the proof follows. $\square$

Restricting the maps f_q to A_{n+q-1} – we get more "f-pairs" (see [12], Section 5) with corresponding statistics, equi-distributions and generating-functions-identities for the alternating groups.

The main result here is

Proposition 8.6 *For every* $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$

$$(1) \qquad Del_q(\pi) - q + 1 = Del_1(f_q(\pi)),$$

and in particular, $del_q(\pi) = del_1(f_q(\pi))$.

$$(2) \qquad Des_q(\pi) - q + 1 = Des_1(f_q(\pi))$$

and in particular, $des_q(\pi) = des_1(f_q(\pi))$.

$$(3) \qquad inv_q(\pi) = inv_1(f_q(\pi)) = \ell_q(\pi) = \ell_1(f_q(\pi)).$$

Here $Del_q(\pi) - r = \{i - r \mid i \in Del_q(\pi)\}$ *and similarly for* $Des_q(\pi) - r$.

The proof is given below.

Remark 8.7 *Recall that* $R_j = \{1, s_j, s_j s_{j-1}, \ldots, s_j s_{j-1} \cdots s_1\}$.

Let $w = w_1 \cdots w_{n+q-2}$ *where all* $w_j \in R_j$ *be the canonical presentation of* $w \in S_{n+q-1}$. *Then* $f_q(w) = f_q(w_1) \cdots f_q(w_{n+q-2})$ *is the canonical presentation of* $f_q(w)$. *Note that* $f_q(w_1) = \cdots = f_q(w_{q-1}) = 1$

2. *In addition, let also* $w' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{n+q-2}$, *where also* $w'_j \in R_j$. *It is obvious that* $f_q(w) = f_q(w')$ *if and only if* $f_q(w_j) = f_q(w'_j)$ *for all* j.

3. *The definition of* a^k*.l.t.r.min in* $\sigma = [b_1, \dots, b_n]$ *– and therefore also the definition of the set* $Del_q(\sigma)$ *– applies whenever the integers* $b_1, \dots, b_n$ *are distinct.*

4. *Let* $b_1, \dots, b_n$ *and* $c_1, \dots, c_n$ *be two sets of distinct integers, let* M *be an integer satisfying* $b_j, c_j < M$ *for all* j*, let* $1 \le k \le n$ *and denote*

$$\sigma = [b_1, \dots, b_n], \qquad \sigma^* = [b_1 \dots, b_{k-1}, M, b_k, \dots, b_n]$$

and

$$\eta = [c_1, \dots, c_n], \qquad \eta^* = [c_1 \dots, c_{k-1}, M, c_k, \dots, c_n].$$

Then it is rather easy to verify that $Del_q(\sigma) = Del_q(\eta)$ *if and only if* $Del_q(\sigma^*) = Del_q(\eta^*)$*.*

Lemma 8.8 *Let* $w, w' \in S_{n+q-1}$ *satisfy* $f_q(w) = f_q(w')$*, then*

1. $Del_q(w) = Del_q(w')$*.*
2. $Des_q(w) = Des_q(w')$*.*

Proof. Since $f_1(w) = w$, we assume that $q \ge 2$.
1. By the definition of f_q and by Remark 8.7 it suffices to prove the following claim:

Let $w_j, w'_j \in R_j$ satisfy $f_q(w_j) = f_q(w'_j)$, $q \le j \le n+q-2$, and let $w = w_q \cdots w_{n+q-2}$ and $w' = w'_q \cdots w'_{n+q-2}$. Then $Del_q(w) = Del_q(w')$.

The proof is by induction on $n \ge 1$. If $n = 1$, $w = w' = 1$.
The induction step:

Denote $m = n + q - 1$, so $w = w_q \cdots w_{m-1}$ and $w' = w'_q \cdots w'_{m-1}$, then denote $\sigma = w_q \cdots w_{m-2}$ and $\sigma' = w'_q \cdots w'_{m-2}$. Since both permutations are in $S_{m-1} \subseteq S_m$, we have

$$\sigma = [b_1, \dots, b_{m-1}, m] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sigma' = [c_1, \dots, c_{m-1}, m].$$

By induction, $Del_q(\sigma) = Del_q(\sigma')$. If $w_{m-1} = 1$ then also $w'_{m-1} = 1$ and we are done.

Thus, assume both are $\ne 1$. Recall that $f_q(w_{m-1}) = f_q(w'_{m-1})$ and let $w_{m-1} = s_{m-1} \cdots s_k$ and $w'_{m-1} = s_{m-1} \cdots s_{k'}$. If $k > q$, it follows that $w_{m-1} = w'_{m-1}$ and we are done. So let $k, k' \le q$. By comparing both cases with the case $k = q$ we may assume that $k = q$ and $k' \le q$, hence $w'_{m-1} = w_{m-1} s_{q-1} \cdots s_{k'}$.

Compare first σw_{m-1} with $\sigma' w_{m-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma w_{m-1} &= [b_1, \ldots, b_{q-1}, m, b_q, \ldots, b_{m-1}],\\ \sigma' w_{m-1} &= [c_1, \ldots, c_{q-1}, m, c_q, \ldots, c_{m-1}],\end{aligned}$$

and by induction and Remark 8.7.4, $Del_q(\sigma w_{m-1}) = Del_q(\sigma' w_{m-1})$. Compare now $\sigma' w_{m-1}$ with $\sigma' w'_{m-1} = (\sigma' w_{m-1}) s_{q-1} \cdots s_{k'}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma' w_{m-1} &= [c_1,\ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots, c_{q-1}, m, c_q, \ldots\ , c_{m-1}] \qquad \text{and}\\ \sigma' w'_{m-1} &= [c_1, \ldots, c_{k'-1}, m, c_{k'}, \ldots, c_{q-1}, \ldots\ldots, c_{m-1}].\end{aligned}$$

A simple argument now shows that $q < i$ is a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min $Del_q(\sigma' w_{m-1}) = Del_q(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$ and the proof of part 1 is complete.

2. The proof is similar to that of Part 1. Denote $m = n + q - 1$, then write $w = w_1 \cdots w_{m-1} = \sigma w_{m-1}$ where $\sigma = w_1 \cdots w_{m-2}$, and similarly $w' = w'_1 \cdots w'_{m-1} = \sigma' w'_{n-1}$. We assume that $f_q(w_j) = f_q(w'_j)$ for all j. Thus $f_q(\sigma) = f_q(\sigma')$ and by induction, $Des_q(\sigma) = Des_q(\sigma')$. By an argument similar to that in the proof of part 1, it follows that $Des_q(\sigma w_{m-1}) = Des_q(\sigma' w_{m-1})$ and it remains to show that $Des_q(\sigma' w_{m-1}) = Des_q(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$. Again as in the proof of part 1, we may assume that $w_{m-1} = s_{m-1} \cdots s_q$ and $w'_{m-1} = s_{m-1} \cdots s_t$ where $t < q$. We prove the case $t = q - 1$, the other cases being proved similarly.

Write $\sigma' = [a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}, m]$. Now $\sigma' w'_{m-1} = \sigma' w_{m-1} s_{q-1}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma' w_{m-1} &= [a_1, \ldots, a_{q-2}, a_{q-1}, m, a_q, \ldots, a_{m-1}],\\ \sigma' w'_{m-1} &= [a_1, \ldots, a_{q-2}, m, a_{q-1}, a_q, \ldots, a_{m-1}].\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $q \in Des(\sigma' w_{m-1})$ (therefore $q \in Des_q(\sigma' w_{m-1})$), but it is possible that $q \notin Des(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$. However, at most all the $q - 1$ integers $a_1, \ldots, a_{q-1}$ are smaller than a_q (but $m > a_q$), hence $q+1 \in Del_q(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$, which implies that $q \in Des_q(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$.

For all other indices $i \neq q$ it is easy to check that $i \in Des_q(\sigma' w_{m-1})$ if and only if $i \in Des_q(\sigma' w'_{m-1})$, and the proof is complete. □

The Proof of Proposition 8.6.

1. Let $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ and let π' denote the permutation obtained from π by erasing – in the canonical presentation of π – all the appearances of the Coxeter generators $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$. Clearly, $f_q(\pi) = f_q(\pi')$, hence suffices to prove that

(a) $Del_q(\pi) = Del_q(\pi')$, and

(b) $Del_q(\pi') - q + 1 = Del(f_q(\pi'))$, i.e. $Del_q(\pi') = Del(f_q(\pi')) + q - 1$.

Let $\pi = w_1 \cdots w_{q-1} w_q \cdots w_{m-1}$ $(m = n + q - 1)$ be the canonical presentation of π: $w_j \in R_j$. Denote $\tau = w_1 \cdots w_{q-1}$ and $\sigma = w_q \cdots w_{m-1}$, then both are given in their canonical presentations. Clearly, $f(\tau) = 1$ and $\pi' = \sigma' = w'_q \cdots w'_{m-1}$, where for each j w'_j is obtained from w_j by erasing all the appearances of $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$, and therefore $f_q(w_j) = f_q(w'_j)$. By Lemma 8.8, $Del_q(\sigma) = Del_q(\sigma') = Del_q(\pi')$. Since $\pi = \tau\sigma$ and $\tau \in S_q$, by Remark 5.7 $Del_q(\pi) = Del_q(\sigma)$ – and (a) is proved.

Part (b) follows from the following fact:
Let $\pi' = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$ be the canonical presentation of the above π' (therefore all $i_j \geq q$), then $f_q(\pi') = s_{i_1 - q + 1} \cdots s_{i_r - q + 1}$. If $f_q(\pi') = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$, it follows that $\pi' = [1, \ldots, q-1, a_1 + q - 1, \ldots, a_n + q - 1]$. If $2 \leq i$, it then follows that i is a l.t.r.min of $f_q(\pi')$ if and only if $i + q - 1$ is a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min of π', which proves (b). □

2. Recall that

$$Des_q(\pi) = (Des(\pi) \cap \{q, q+1, \ldots, n\}) \cup (Del_q(\pi) - 1).$$

Special Case: Assume π does not involve any of $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$. As above, if $f_q(\pi) = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ then $\pi = [1, \ldots, q-1, a_1 + q - 1, \ldots, a_n + q - 1]$, hence

$$Des(\pi) \cap \{q, q+1, \ldots, n+q-1\} = Des(f_q(\pi)) + q - 1.$$

By part 1

$$Des_q(\pi) = ([Des(f_q(\pi))] \cup [Del(f_q(\pi)) - 1]) + q - 1.$$

Since for any $\sigma \in S_n$ $Des(\sigma) \supseteq Del(\sigma) - 1$, it follows that the right hand side equals $Des(f_q(\pi)) + q - 1$, and this completes the proof of this case.

The general case: Let $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ be arbitrary. Let π' be the permutation obtained from π by deleting all the appearances of $s_1, \ldots, s_{q-1}$ from its canonical presentation. Then $f_q(\pi) = f_q(\pi')$ and the proof easily follows from the above special case and from Lemma 8.8(2).

3. By Proposition 8.2, $inv_q(\pi) = \ell_q(\pi)$. By the definitions of ℓ_q and f_q, $\ell_q(\pi) = \ell(f_q(\pi))$, and finally, $\ell(\sigma) = inv(\sigma)$ for any permutation σ. □

Remark 8.9 *Proposition 6.1 now follows from Proposition 8.6, combined with Proposition 8.5 and 3.7.*

Lemma 8.10 *For every* $\pi \in S_n$

$$\# f_q^{-1}(\pi) = q! \cdot q^{del_1(\pi)} = (q-1)! \cdot q^{del_1(\pi)+1}.$$

Moreover, let $g_q : A_{n+q-1} \to S_n$ *be the restriction* $g_q = f_q|_{A_{n+q-1}}$ *of* f_q *to* A_{n+q-1}*. Then*

$$\# g_q^{-1}(\pi) = \frac{1}{2} \# f_q^{-1}(\pi).$$

Proof. Denote $m = n + q - 1$, so $f_q : S_m \to S_n$. Consider the canonical presentation of $\pi \in S_n$ and write it as $\pi = \pi^{(n-1)} \cdot v_{n-1}$, where $\pi^{(n-1)} \in S_{n-1}$ and $v_{n-1} \in R_{n-1} = \{1, s_{n-1}, s_{n-1}s_{n-2}, \ldots, s_{n-1}s_{n-2} \cdots s_1\}$. Thus

$$\# f_q^{-1}(\pi) = \# f_q^{-1}(\pi^{(n-1)}) \cdot \# f_q^{-1}(v_{n-1}) \ = q! \cdot q^{del_1(\pi^{(n-1)})} \# f_q^{-1}(v_{n-1})$$

(by induction). If $del_1(v_{n-1}) = 0$ then $\# f_q^{-1}(v_{n-1}) = 1$. If $del_1(v_{n-1}) = 1$ then $\# f_q^{-1}(v_{n-1}) = q$, since in that case $v_{n-1} = s_{n-1} \cdots s_1$ and

$$f_q^{-1}(v_{n-1}) = \{w_{m-1}, w_{m-1}s_{q-1}, \ldots, w_{m-1}s_{q-1} \cdots s_1\},$$

where $w_{m-1} = s_{m-1}s_{m-2} \cdots s_q$. The proof now follows.

The argument for g_q is similar. The factor $1/2$ comes from the fact that $\# f_q^{-1}(1) = \# S_q$ while $\# g_q^{-1}(1) = \# A_q$. □

Following [12], we introduce

Definition 8.11 *Let* m_1 *and* m_q *be two statistics on the symmetric groups. We say that* (m_1, m_q) *is an* f_q*–pair if for all* n *and* $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$, $m_q(\pi) = m_1(f_q(\pi))$.

As a corollary of Proposition 8.6 and Remark 11.1, we have

Corollary 8.12 *The following are* f_q*–pairs:*
(inv_1, inv_q), (ℓ_1, ℓ_q), (del_1, del_q), (des_1, des_q), *and* $(rmaj_{1,n}, rmaj_{q,n+q-1})$.

The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 in [12], together with Lemma 8.10, now proves

Proposition 8.13 *Let* (m_1, m_q) *be an* f_q*–pair of statistics on the symmetric groups. Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{m_q(\pi)} t_2^{del_q(\pi)} = q! \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} t_1^{m_1(\sigma)} t_2^{del_1(\sigma)}.$$

Restricting f_q to A_{n+q-1} we obtain similarly, that

$$\sum_{\pi \in A_{n+q-1}} t_1^{m_q(\pi)} t_2^{del_q(\pi)} = \frac{1}{2} q! \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} t_1^{m_1(\sigma)} t_2^{del_1(\sigma)}.$$

Remark 8.14 *As in [12], Proposition 8.13 allows us to lift equi-distribution theorems from S_n to S_{n+q-1}, as well as to A_{n+q-1}. This is demonstrated in Theorem 11.3. We leave the formulation and the proof of the corresponding A_{n+q-1} statement – for the reader.*

9 Dashed Patterns

Dashed patterns in permutations were introduced in [2]. For example, the permutation σ contains the pattern $(1-32)$ if $\sigma = [\ldots, a, \ldots, c, b, \ldots]$ for some $a < b < c$; if no such a, b, c exist then σ is said to avoid $(1-32)$. In [3] the author shows connections between the number of permutations avoiding $(1-32)$ – and various combinatorial objects, like the Bell and the Stirling numbers, as well as the number of left-to-right-minima in permutations. In this and in the next sections we obtain the q-analogues for these connections and results.

In Section 5.2 it was observed that, always, $Del_q(\pi) - 1 \subseteq Des_q(\pi)$. It is proved in Proposition 9.3 that equality holds exactly for permutations avoiding a certain set of dashed-patterns.

Definition 9.1 *1. Given q, denote by*

$$Pat(q) = \{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \cdots - \sigma_q - (q+2)(q+1)) \mid \sigma \in S_q\}$$

the set with these $q!$ dashed patterns.
For example, $Pat(2) = \{(1-2-43),\ (2-1-43)\}$.

2. Denote by $Avoid_q(m)$, $m = n+q-1$, the set of permutations in S_m avoiding all the $q!$ patterns in $Pat(q)$, and let $h_q(m)$ denote the number of the permutations in S_m avoiding $Pat(q)$. Thus $h_q(m) = \#Avoid_q(m)$ is the number of the permutations in S_{n+q-1} avoiding $Pat(q)$. Note that $h_q(m) = n!$ if $m \le q+1$. As usual, define $h_q(0) = 1$.

Connections between $h_q(n)$ and the q-Bell and q-Stirling numbers are given in section 10.

Remark 9.2 *A permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ does satisfy one of the patterns in $Pat(q)$ if and only if there exist a subsequence*

$$1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_{q+1} < n + q - 1,$$

such that $\pi(i_{q+1}) > \pi(i_{q+1}+1)$ and for every $1 \le j \le q,\ \pi(i_j) < \pi(i_{q+1}+1)$. In such a case, $i_{q+1} + 1$ (namely, $\pi(i_{q+1} + 1)$) is not an a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π.

Proposition 9.3 *A permutation $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$ avoids $Pat(q)$ exactly when $Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)$:*

$$Avoid_q(n + q - 1) = \{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} |\ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

In particular,

$$h_q(n + q - 1) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} |\ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

Proof.

1. Recall from Section 5.2 that, always, $Del_q(\pi) - 1 \subseteq Des_q(\pi)$. Let $\pi = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n+q-1}] \in S_{n+q-1}$ satisfy $Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)$, which implies that $Des(\pi) \cap \{q, \ldots, n+q-1\} \subseteq Del_q(\pi) - 1$, and show that π avoids $Pat(q)$. If not, by Remark 9.2 we obtain a descent in π at i_{q+1}, while $i_{q+1} + 1$ is not a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π; thus i_{q+1} is in $Des(\pi) \cap \{q, \ldots, n + q - 1\}$ but not in $Del_q(\pi) - 1$, a contradiction.

2. Denote $\pi = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n+q-1}]$. Assume now that $\pi \in Avoid_q(n)$, let $k \in Des(\pi) \cap \{q, \ldots, n+q-1\}$ (so $b_k > b_{k+1}$) and show that $k+1 \in Del_q(\pi)$, that is, $k + 1$ (namely b_{k+1}) is a^{q-1}.l.t.r.min in π. If not, there exist q (or more) b_j's in π, smaller than and left of b_{k+1} - hence also left of b_k. Together with $b_k > b_{k+1}$ this shows that $\pi \notin Avoid_q(n + q - 1)$, a contradiction. □

Corollary 9.4 *The covering map f_q maps $Avoid_q(S_{n+q-1})$ to $Avoid_1(S_n)$:*

$$f_q : Avoid_q(S_{n+q-1}) \to Avoid_1(S_n).$$

Similarly,

$$f_2 : Avoid_q(S_{n+q-1}) \to Avoid_{q-1}(S_{n+q-2}).$$

Proof. This follows straightforward from Propositions 8.6 and 9.3. □

10 q-Bell and q-Stirling Numbers

10.1 The q-Bell Numbers

Recall that $S(n,k)$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind, i.e. the numbers of k-partitions of the set $[n]=\{1,\ldots,n\}$. Recall also that the Bell number $b(n)$ is the total number of the partitions of $[n]$: $b(n)=\sum_k S(n,k)$.

Definition 10.1 *Define the q-Bell numbers $b_q(n)$ by*

$$b_q(n)=\sum_k q^k S(n,k).$$

Remark 10.2 *Let $q\geq 1$ be an integer and consider partitions of $[n]$ into k subsets, where each subset is colored by one of q colors. The number of such q-colored k-partitions is obviously $q^k S(n,k)$. It follows that the total number of such q-colored partitions of $[n]$ is the n-th q-Bell number $b_q(n)$.*

Proposition 10.8 below shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\sigma\in S_{n+q-1}\mid Del_q(\sigma)-1=Des_q(\sigma)\quad\text{and}\quad del_q(\sigma)=k-1\}&=\\ =(q-1)!q^k S(n,k),&\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(q-1)!b_q(n)=\#\{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}|\ Del_q(\pi)-1=Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

The q-Bell numbers are studied first.

When $q=1$, by considering the subset in a k-partition of $[n]$ which contains n, one easily deduce the well-known recurrence relation

$$b_1(n)=\sum_k\binom{n-1}{k}b_1(n-k-1).$$

In the general q colors case, apply the same argument, now taking into account that each subset – and in particular the one containing n – can be colored by q colors. This proves:

Lemma 10.3 *For each integer $q\geq 1$ we have the following recurrence relation*

$$b_q(n)=q\sum_k\binom{n-1}{k}b_q(n-k-1).$$

Remark 10.4 *1. Let $B_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_q(n)\frac{x^n}{n!}$ denote the exponential generating function of $\{b_q(n)\}$. As in page 42 in [16], Lemma 10.3 implies that $B'(x) = qe^x B_q(x)$. Together with $B(0) = 1$ (since, by definition, $b_q(0) = 1$), this implies that*

$$B_q(x) = \exp(qe^x - q).$$

2. The classical formula

$$b_1(n) = \frac{1}{e}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{r!}$$

[4] (see also formula (1.6.10) in [16]) generalizes as follows:

$$b_q(n) = \frac{1}{e^q}\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^r r^n}{r!}.$$

The proof follows, essentially unchanged, the argument on page 21 in [16].

10.2 Connections with Pattern-Avoiding Permutations

Recall that $Pat(q) = \{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2 - \cdots - \sigma_q - (q+2)(q+1)) \mid \sigma \in S_q\}$ and that $h_q(n)$ denotes the number of the permutations in S_n avoiding all these $q!$ patterns in $Pat(q)$.

Proposition 10.5 *The q-Bell numbers $b_q(n)$ and the numbers $h_q(n+q-1)$ of permutations in S_{n+q-1} that avoid $Pat(q)$, satisfy*

$$h_q(n+q-1) = (q-1)! \cdot b_q(n).$$

By Proposition 9.3 this implies that

$$(q-1)!b_q(n) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

The proof requires the following recurrence.

Lemma 10.6 *If $n \geq q$ then*

$$h_q(n) = q\sum_{k=0}^{n-q}\binom{n-q}{k} h_q(n-k-1).$$

Proof. The proof is by a rather standard argument.
Let $K \subseteq \{q+1, q+2, \ldots, n\}$ be a subset, with $|K| = k$, hence $0 \leq k \leq n-q$. Let κ be the word obtained by writing the numbers of K in an increasing order. Note that there are $\binom{n-q}{k}$ such K's – hence $\binom{n-q}{k}$ such κ's. Let $1 \leq i \leq q$ and let $\sigma^{(i)}$ be a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, i-1, i+1, \ldots, n\} \backslash K$, which avoids $Pat(q)$. By definition, since there are $n-1-k$ elements in that set, there are $h_q(n-k-1)$ such $\sigma^{(i)}$'s. Now construct (the word) $\eta^{(i)} = \sigma^{(i)} i \kappa$, then $\eta^{(i)} \in S_n$ and it avoids $Pat(q)$ since there is no descent in the part $i\kappa$ of $\eta^{(i)}$ (see Remark 9.2). For each $1 \leq i \leq q$, the number of $\eta^{(i)}$'s thus constructed is $\sum_{k=0}^{n-q} \binom{n-q}{k} h_q(n-k-1)$, hence

$$h_q(n) \leq q \sum_{k=0}^{n-q} \binom{n-q}{k} h_q(n-k-1).$$

Conversely, assume $\eta \in S_n$ avoids $Pat(q)$. Among $1, \ldots, q$, let i appear the rightmost in η and write the word η as $\eta = \sigma i \kappa$, then none of $1, \ldots, q$ appears in κ. The numbers in κ are increasing since otherwise, if there is a descent in κ, Remark 9.2 would imply that η does satisfy one of the dashed patterns in $Pat(q)$, a contradiction. Since η avoids $Pat(q)$, obviously the part σ of η also avoids $Pat(q)$. It follows that η is the above permutation $\eta = \eta^{(i)}$. This proves the reverse inequality - and completes the proof. $\square$

The Proof of Proposition 10.5 now follows by induction on $n \geq 0$.

The case $n = 0$ is clear. Assume $n \geq 1$, then by Lemma 10.6

$$h_q(n+q-1) = q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} h_q(n-1-k+q-1) =$$

(by induction)

$$= q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \cdot (q-1)! \cdot b_q(n-k-1) = (q-1)! \cdot \left[q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} b_q(n-k-1) \right]$$

$$\text{(by Lemma 10.3)} \qquad = (q-1)! \cdot b_q(n).$$

This proves the first equation of the proposition. Together with Definition 9.1 and Proposition 9.3, this implies that $h_q(n+q-1) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} |\ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}$, hence

$$(q-1)! b_q(n) = \#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} |\ Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_q(\pi)\}.$$

$\square$

In the case $q = 1$,

$$b_1(n) = b(n) = \#Avoid_1(n) = \#\{\sigma \in S_n \mid Del_1(\sigma) - 1 = Des_1(\sigma)\},$$

which appears in [3].

Let

$$H_q(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_q(n+q-1)\frac{x^n}{n!}$$

be the exponential generating function of the $h_q(n+q-1)$'s. By Remark 10.4(1) and Proposition 10.5 we have

Corollary 10.7

$$H_q(x) = (q-1)! \cdot \exp(qe^x - q).$$

10.3 Stirling Numbers of the Second Kind

The following refinement of the second equation of Proposition 10.5 is proved in this subsection.

Proposition 10.8

$$\#\{\sigma \in S_{n+q-1} \mid Del_q(\sigma) - 1 = Des_q(\sigma) \quad \textit{and} \quad del_q(\sigma) = k-1\} = \\ = (q-1)!q^k S(n,k).$$

Deduce that

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in S_n \mid \ Del_1(\pi) - 1 = Des_1(\pi)\}} q^{del_1(\pi)} = \frac{1}{q} \cdot b_q(n),$$

and more generally,

$$\sum_{\{\sigma \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \ Del_q(\sigma) - 1 = Des_q(\sigma)\}} q^{del_q(\sigma)} = \\ = \tfrac{(q-1)!}{q} \cdot \textstyle\sum_k q^{2k} S(n,k) = \tfrac{(q-1)!}{q} \cdot b_{q^2}(n).$$

Proof. We first prove the case $q = 1$ namely, that

$$\#\{\sigma \in S_n \mid Del_1(\sigma) - 1 = Des_1(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad del_1(\sigma) = k-1\} = S(n,k).$$

Recall that $S(n,k)$ is the number of partitions of $[n]$ into k non-empty subsets. Given such a partition $D_1 \cup \cdots \cup D_k = [n]$, assume w.l.o.g. that the numbers in each D_i are increasing : D_i is $\{d_{i,1} < d_{i,2} < \cdots\}$, and also, the minimal elements $d_{1,1}, d_{2,1}, \cdots$ are decreasing: $d_{1,1} > d_{2,1} > \cdots > d_{k,1}$. Corresponding to that partition we construct the permutation $\sigma = [D_1, D_2, \ldots]$, namely $\sigma = [d_{1,1}, d_{1,2}, \ldots, d_{2,1}, d_{2,2}, \ldots, d_{k,1}, d_{k,2} \ldots]$.

Now $Del_1(\sigma)$, the l.t.r.min of σ, are exactly at the $(k-1)$ positions of $d_{2,1}, d_{3,1}, \ldots, d_{k,1}$, and obviously the descents occur at $Del_1(\sigma) - 1$. This establishes an injection of the set of the k partitions of $[n]$ into the above set, which implies that

$$\text{card}\,\{\sigma \in S_n \mid Del_1(\sigma) - 1 = Des_1(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad del_1(\sigma) = k-1\} \geq S(n,k).$$

Since the sum on all k's of both sides equals $b(n)$, this implies the case $q = 1$.

The general q case follows from Proposition 8.6, and from Lemma 8.10: Let $\pi \in S_n$. By Proposition 8.6,

$$Del_1(\pi) - 1 = Des_1(\pi) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad Del_q(f_q^{-1}(\pi)) - 1 = Des_q(f_q^{-1}(\pi)),$$

and also,

$$del_1(\pi) = k-1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad del_q(f_q^{-1}(\pi)) = k-1.$$

Denote $D_q(n,k) = \{\sigma \in S_{n+q-1} \mid Del_q(\sigma) - 1 = Des_q(\sigma) \;\&\; del_q(\sigma) = k-1\}$, so that $D_1(n,k) = \{\pi \in S_n \mid Del_q(\pi) - 1 = Des_1(\pi) \;\&\; del_1(\pi) = k-1\}$. It follows that

$$D_q(n,k) = \bigcup_{\pi \in D_1(n,k)} f_q^{-1}(\pi),$$

a disjoint union. By Lemma 8.10, $\# f_q^{-1}(\pi) = (q-1)! \cdot q^k$ for all $\pi \in D_1(n,k)$, and the proof now follows easily from the case $q = 1$. $\square$

10.4 Stirling Numbers of the First Kind

Let $c(n,k)$ be the signless Stirling numbers of the first kind.

Proposition 10.9

$$c(n,k) = \#\{\pi \in S_n \mid\, (del_S(\pi)) = del_1(\pi) = k-1\},$$

namely, $c(n,k)$ *equals the number of permutations in* S_n *with* $k-1$ *l.t.r.min.*

For the proof, see Proposition 5.8 in [12].

The following is a q-analogue of Proposition 10.9.

Proposition 10.10

$$\#\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} | \; del_q(\pi) = k-1\} = c_q(n,k),$$

where $c_q(n,k) = q^k \; (q-1)! \; c(n,k)$.

Proof. The proof is essentially identical to the proof of Proposition 10.8, with the set $D_q(n,k)$ being replaced here by the set $H_q(n,k) = \{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} | \; del_q(\pi) = k-1\}$. Then $H_1(n,k) = \{\pi \in S_n | \; del_1(\pi) = k-1\}$, and by Proposition 5.8 in [12], $\#H_1(n,k) = c(n,k)$, the *signless Stirling number of the first kind.* The proof now follows. $\square$

11 Equidistribution

11.1 MacMahon Type Theorems for q-Statistics

Recall the definition of $rmaj_{q,n+q-1}$ from Definition 5.9.

Remark 11.1 *Note that for* $\pi \in S_{n+q-1}$,

$$rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi) = rmaj_{1,n}(f_q(\pi)) = rmaj_{S_n}(f_q(\pi)).$$

This follows since by Proposition 8.6(2), $i \in Des_q(\pi)$ *if and only if* $i-q+1 \in Des_1(f_q(\pi))$.

The following is a q-analogue of MacMahon's equi-distribution theorem.

Theorem 11.2 *For every positive integers* n *and* q

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t^{inv_q(\pi)} =$$
$$= q!(1+tq)(1+t+t^2q)\cdots(1+t+\ldots+t^{n-2}+t^{n-1}q).$$

This theorem is obtained from the next one by substituting $t_2 = 1$.

Theorem 11.3 *For every positive integers* n *and* q

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} t_2^{del_q(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{inv_q(\pi)} t_2^{del_q(\pi)} =$$
$$= q!(1+t_1t_2q)(1+t_1+t_1^2t_2q)\cdots(1+t_1+\ldots+t_1^{n-2}+t_1^{n-1}t_2q).$$

Proof. By Proposition 8.6 and Remark 11.1, $(rmaj_{S_n}, rmaj_{q,n+q-1})$ and (inv, inv_q) are f_q-pairs. The proof now follows from Proposition 8.13 and Theorem 3.3.

□

The following is a q-analogue of Foata-Schützenberger's equi-distribution theorem [7, Theorem 1].

Theorem 11.4 *For every positive integers n and q and every subset $B \subseteq [q, n+q-1]$*

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B\}} t^{inv_q(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B\}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)}.$$

This theorem is obtained from the next one by substituting $B_2 = [q, n+q-1]$.

Theorem 11.5 *For every positive integers n and q and every subsets $B_1 \subseteq [q, n+q-1]$ and $B_2 \subseteq [q, n+q-1]$*

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B_1, \; Del_q(\pi^{-1})=B_2\}} t^{inv_q(\pi)} =$$

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B_1, \; Del_q(\pi^{-1})=B_2\}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 8.6 and Remark 11.1, it suffices to prove that for every subsets $B_1 \subseteq [n-1]$ and $B_2 \subseteq [n-1]$

$$\sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_1(f_q(\pi^{-1}))=B_1, \; Del_1(f_q(\pi^{-1}))=B_2\}} t^{inv_1(f_q(\pi))} =$$

$$= \sum_{\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1} \mid \; Des_1(f_q(\pi^{-1}))=B_1, \; Del_1(f_q(\pi^{-1}))=B_2\}} t^{rmaj_{1,n}(f_q(\pi))}.$$

By Proposition 8.4 $f_q(\pi^{-1}) = f_q(\pi)^{-1}$. Thus, denoting $\sigma = f_q(\pi)$, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n \mid \; Des_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_1, \; Del_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_2\}} \# f_q^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot t^{inv_1(\sigma)} =$$

$$\sum_{\{\sigma \in S_n \mid \; Des_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_1, \; Del_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_2\}} \# f_q^{-1}(\sigma) \cdot t^{rmaj_{1,n}(\sigma)}.$$

By Propositions 5.2 and 5.5, for every $\sigma \in S_n$ with $Del_1(\sigma^{-1}) = B_2$, $del_1(\sigma) = \#B_2$. Thus, by Lemma 8.10, $\#f_q^{-1}(\sigma) = (q-1)! \cdot q^{\#B_2+1}$ for all permutations in the sums. Hence, the theorem is reduced to

$$(q-1)! \cdot q^{\#B_2+1} \cdot \sum_{\{\sigma\in S_n \mid \ Des_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_1,\ Del_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_2\}} t^{inv_1(\sigma)} =$$

$$(q-1)! \cdot q^{\#B_2+1} \cdot \sum_{\{\sigma\in S_n \mid \ Des_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_1,\ Del_1(\sigma^{-1})=B_2\}} t^{rmaj_{1,n}(\sigma)}.$$

Theorem 3.2 completes the proof.

□

Theorem 11.4 implies q-analogues of two classical identities, due to [14, 7].

Corollary 11.6 *For every positive integers n and q*

(1) $\sum_{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{inv_q(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi^{-1})} = \sum_{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi^{-1})}$,

and

(2) $\sum_{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{inv_q(\pi)} t_2^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi^{-1})} = \sum_{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}} t_1^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} t_2^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi^{-1})}$.

11.2 Equi-distribution on $Avoid_q(n)$

The main theorem on equi-distribution on permutations avoiding patterns is the following.

Theorem 11.7 *For every positive integers n and q and every subset $B \subseteq [q,\ldots,n+q-2]$*

$$\sum_{\{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1) \mid \ Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B\}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} = \sum_{\{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1) \mid \ Des_q(\pi^{-1})=B\}} t^{inv_q(\pi)}$$

Proof. Substituting $B_1 = B_2 - 1 = B$ in Theorem 11.5 we obtain, for every subsets $B \subseteq [q, n+q-1]$

$$\sum_{\{\pi\in S_{n+q-1} \mid \ Des_q(\pi^{-1})=Del_q(\pi^{-1})-1=B\}} t^{inv_q(\pi)} =$$

$$\sum_{\{\pi\in S_{n+q-1}|\ Des_q(\pi^{-1})=Del_q(\pi^{-1})-1=B\}} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)}.$$

By Proposition 9.3

$$\{\pi \in S_{n+q-1}|\ Des_q(\pi^{-1}) = Del_q(\pi^{-1}) - 1 = B\}$$

$$= \{\pi^{-1} \in Avoid_q(n+q-1)|\ Des_q(\pi^{-1}) = B\}.$$

This completes the proof.

□

Theorem 11.7 implies

Corollary 11.8 *For every positive integers* n *and* q

$$\sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t_1^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t_1^{inv_q(\pi)} t_2^{des_q(\pi)}$$

The following is an extension of MacMahon's theorem to permutations avoiding patterns.

Theorem 11.9 *For every positive integers* n *and* q

$$\sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t^{rmaj_{q,n+q-1}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi^{-1}\in Avoid_q(n+q-1)} t^{inv_q(\pi)}$$

Proof. Substitute $t_2 = 1$ in Corollary 11.8. □

12 Appendix. $Des_2 = Des_A$: The Proof

Lemma 12.1 *Let* $w = [b_1, \ldots, b_{n+1}] \in A_{n+1}$. *Let* $1 \le i \le n-1$, *then* $i \in Des_A(w)$ *if and only if one of the following two conditions hold.*

1. $b_{i+1} > b_{i+2}$,
   or
2. $b_{i+1} < b_{i+2}$ *and* $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_i > b_{i+2}$.

In particular, $1 \in Des_A(w)$ *if and only if* $b_1 > b_3$ *(and/) or* $b_2 > b_3$.

Proof. The basic tool is the formula

$$\ell_A(w) = \ell_S(w) - del_S(w).$$

Assume first that $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $v = wa_i = [b_2, b_1, \ldots, b_{i+2}, b_{i+1}, \ldots]$. Now compare $\ell_S(w)$ with $\ell_S(v)$, and $del_S(w)$ with $del_S(v)$, then apply the above formula, and the proof follows. Here are the details.

The case $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $b_{i+1} > b_{i+2}$.
If $b_1 < b_2$ then $\ell_S(w) = \ell_S(v)$. Now, $del(\sigma)$ is the number of l.t.r.min in σ. Interchanging $b_1 < b_2$ in w adds one such l.t.r.min, while interchanging $b_{i+1} > b_{i+2}$ reduces that (del_S) number by one, or leaves it unchanged. In particular, $del_S(w) \leq del_S(v)$. It follows that
$\ell_A(w) = \ell_S(w) - del_S(w) \geq \ell_S(v) - del_S(v) = \ell_A(v)$, i.e. $\ell_A(wa_i) \leq \ell_A(w)$, hence $i \in Des_A(w)$.

Similarly for the other cases. If $b_1 > b_2$ (and $b_{i+1} > b_{i+2}$), verify that $\ell_S(w) = \ell_S(v) + 2$, while $del_S(w) \leq del_S(v) + 2$, and again this implies that $i \in Des_A(w)$. This completes the proof of 2.a.

The case $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $b_{i+1} < b_{i+2}$.
First, assume $b_1 < b_2$, then $\ell_S(v) = \ell_S(w) + 2$. If $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_i > b_{i+2}$ then also $del_S(v) = del_S(w) + 2$, hence $\ell_A(wa_i) = \ell_A(v) = \ell_A(w)$, and $i \in Des_A(w)$. If $b_j < b_{i+2}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq i$ then $del_S(v) = del_S(w) + 1$ and it follows that $i \notin Des_A(w)$.

If $b_1 > b_2$ then $\ell_S(v) = \ell_S(w)$. Assuming that $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_i > b_{i+2}$, deduce that also $del_S(v) = del_S(w)$, hence $i \in Des_A(w)$. If $b_j < b_{i+2}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq i$ then $del_S(v) = del_S(w) - 1$, so $\ell_A(wa_i) = \ell_A(v) = \ell_A(w) - 1$ and $i \notin Des_A(w)$.

Finally assume that $i = 1$, then $v = wa_1 = ws_1s_2 = [b_2, b_3, b_1, b_4, b_5 \ldots]$. Obviously, $\ell_S(w) - \ell_S(v)$ depends only on the order relations among b_1, b_2, b_3, and similarly for $del_S(w) - del_S(v)$. We can therefore assume that $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, then check the 3!=6 possible cases of $w = [b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots]$. For example, assume $w = [1, 3, 2, \ldots]$, then $wa_1 = [3, 2, 1, \ldots] = v$, so $\ell_S(v) = \ell_S(w) + 2$ while $del_S(v) = del_S(w) + 2$, hence $1 \in Des_A(w)$.
Similarly for the remaining five cases. □

References

[1] R. M. Adin and Y. Roichman, *Equidistribution and sign-balance on 321-avoiding permutations*, preprint, 2003, `<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0304429>`.

[2] E. Babson and E. Steingrimsson, *Generalized permutation patterns and classification of the Mahonian statistics.* Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire, B44b: 18pp,2000.

[3] A. Claesson, *Generalized pattern avoidance*, Europ. J. Combin. **22**, 2001 No. 7, 961–971.

[4] G. Dobinski, *Summierung der Reihe* $\sum n^n/n!$ *fuer !1,2,3,4,5,...*, Arch. fuer Math. und Physik, vol. 61, 1877, p. 333-336.

[5] S. Elizalde, *Fixed points and excedances in restricted permutations.* preprint, 2002, `<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0212221>`.

[6] S. Elizalde and I. Pak, *Bijections for refined restricted permutations.* preprint, 2002, `<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0212328>`.

[7] D. Foata and M. P. Schützenberger, *Major index and inversion number of permutations.* Math. Nachr. **83** (1978), 143–159.

[8] A. M. Garsia and I. Gessel, *Permutation statistics and partitions.* Adv. in Math. **31** (1979), 288–305.

[9] D. M. Goldschmidt, *Group characters, symmetric functions, and the Hecke algebra*, Amer. Math. Soc. University Lecture Series, Vol. 4 (1993)

[10] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis I-II.* Cambridge Univ. Press, London/New-York, 1916. (Reprinted by Chelsea, New-York, 1960.)

[11] H. Mitsuhashi, *The q-analogue of the alternating group and its representations.* J. Alg. 240 (2001), 535–558.

[12] A. Regev and Y. Roichman, *Permutation statistics on the alternating group.* preprint, 2003, `<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0302301>`.

[13] A. Reifegerste, *The excedances and descents of bi-increasing permutations.* preprint, 2002, `<http://arXiv.org/abs/math.CO/0212247>`.

[14] D. P. Roselle, *Coefficients associated with the expansion of certain products.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 144–150.

[15] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics (Vol. 1)*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.

[16] H. S. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology, 2nd edition*. Academic Press.